

WINSTEAD

direct dial: 512.370.2832
rvoigt@winstead.com

FAX COVER SHEET

1162.999993-1162

PLEASE DELIVER TO:	COMPANY	PHONE NO.	FAX NO.
EXAMINER MITRA KIANERSI	USPTO	571-272-3915	571-273-3915
MESSAGE: PROPOSED AGENDA FOR APPLICATION NO. 09/903,930.			

FROM: ROBERT A. VOIGT, JR. **PHONE NO.:** 512.370.2832
DATE: JANUARY 3, 2005 **TIME IN:**

NO. OF PAGES 3 INCLUDING COVER SHEET.

If any transmission problems, please call:

512.370.2914

INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS TRANSMISSION IS INTENDED FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE AND MAY CONTAIN LEGALLY PRIVILEGED AND/OR CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE PERMANENTLY DELETE THIS MESSAGE AND IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE.

SUITE 2100
AUSTIN, TX 78701
AUSTIN TEXAS 78701

PH 512.370.2800
FAX 512.370.2950
WINSTEAD.COM

WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK
Attorneys and Counselors
A Professional Corporation

Austin, Dallas, Fort Worth,
Houston, Mexico City, San Antonio,
The Woodlands, Washington DC

Attorney Docket No:

PTOL-413A (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008 OMB 0651-0031
U S Patent and Trademark Office, U S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09 /903,930 First Named Applicant: McBrearty et al.
Examiner: Mitra Kianersi Art Unit: 2145 Status of Application: 1-18 Pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) Robert A. Voigt, Jr. (2) _____
(3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: Examiner Decide Proposed Time: _____ (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video ConferenceExhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES NO
If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

 Continuation Sheet AttachedBrief Description of Arguments to be Presented:
See Attached Sheet.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. The collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments including the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Proposed Agenda:

1. Discuss where column 2, line 65 – column 3, line 3 and column 9, lines 21-25 of the Wolff reference allegedly teaches the limitation of "sending a first notification of updating data associated with a plurality of disks in a first volume group shared by a first node and a second node".
2. Discuss where column 11, lines 40-57; column 16, lines 42-45 and column 32, lines 7-9 of Wolff allegedly teaches the limitation of "receiving a second notification by said second node indicating that said data associated with said plurality of disks in said first volume group has been updated, wherein said second notification comprises a data identifier."